## Transformer

## The Decoder



## Learning goals

- Understand Masked Self-Attention and the role of causality in decoding
- Understand the connection between the encoder and the decoder
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## RNNS FOR AUTOREGRESSIVE LM \& DECODING

- In autoregressive language modeling, or in the decoder of a sequence-to-sequence model, the task is to always predict the next word
- In an RNN, a given state $\vec{h}^{(j)}$ depends on past inputs $x^{(1)} \ldots x^{(j)}$
- Thus, the RNN is unable to "cheat":



## SELF-ATTENTION FOR AR LM \& DECODING

- With attention, all $\vec{o}_{j}$ depend on all $\vec{v}_{j^{\prime}}$ (and by extension, all $\vec{x}_{j^{\prime}}$ ).
- This means that the model can easily cheat by looking at future words (red connections)



## MASKED SELF-ATTENTION

- So when we use self-attention for language modeling or in a sequence-to-sequence decoder, we have to prevent $\vec{o}_{j}$ from attending to any $\vec{v}_{j^{\prime}}$ where $j^{\prime}>j$.
- Question: How can we do that?
- Remember:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{o}_{j} & =\sum_{j^{\prime}=1}^{J} \alpha_{j, j^{\prime}} \vec{v}_{j^{\prime}} \\
\alpha_{j, j^{\prime}} & =\frac{\exp \left(e_{j, j^{\prime}}\right)}{\sum_{j^{\prime \prime}=1}^{J} \exp \left(e_{j, j^{\prime \prime}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- By hardcoding $e_{j, j^{\prime}}=-\infty$ when $j^{\prime}>j$ (in practice, " $\infty$ " is just a large constant)
- That way, $\exp \left(e_{j, j^{\prime}}\right)=\alpha_{j, j^{\prime}}=0$, so $\vec{v}_{j^{\prime}}$ has no impact on $\vec{o}_{j}$


## PARALLELIZED MASKED SELF-ATTENTION

- Step 1: Calculate $\vec{E}$ like we usually would
- Step 1B:

$$
\vec{E}^{\text {masked }}=\vec{E} \odot \vec{M}+\infty \vec{M}-\infty ; \quad m_{j, j^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j^{\prime} \leq j \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{E}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
e_{1,1} & e_{1,2} & e_{1,3} \\
e_{2,1} & e_{2,2} & e_{2,3} \\
e_{3,1} & e_{3,2} & e_{3,3}
\end{array}\right] ; \quad \vec{M}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\vec{E}^{\text {masked }}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
e_{1,1} & -\infty & -\infty \\
e_{2,1} & e_{2,2} & -\infty \\
e_{3,1} & e_{3,2} & e_{3,3}
\end{array}\right] ; \quad \vec{A}^{\text {masked }}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & 0 \\
\alpha_{3,1} & \alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{3,3}
\end{array}\right] \\
\vec{o}_{1}=\vec{v}_{1} ; \quad \vec{o}_{2}=\alpha_{2,1} \vec{v}_{1}+\alpha_{2,2} \vec{v}_{2} ; \quad \vec{o}_{3}=\alpha_{3,1} \vec{v}_{1}+\alpha_{3,2} \vec{v}_{2}+\alpha_{3,3} \vec{v}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## AR TRANSFORMER AT INFERENCE TIME

- During training (targets known): Use parallelized masked attention
- At inference time (targets unknown): Decode prediction in a loop
- Slower, but at least we don't have to worry about masking anymore



## ADD. SUBTLETIES: RESIDUAL CONNECTIONS

- Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a function with parameters $\theta$
- $\mathcal{F}$ with a residual connection:

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(\vec{X} ; \theta)=\mathcal{F}(\vec{X} ; \theta)+\vec{X}
$$



- Benefits: Information retention (we add to $\vec{X}$ but don't replace it)


## ADD. SUBTLETIES: LAYER NORMALIZATION

- Let $\theta=\left\{\vec{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \vec{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}$ be trainable parameters
- Let $\vec{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an output vector of some layer (e.g., an $\vec{o}_{j}$ vector from an attention layer)
- Then layer normalization calculates:

$$
\vec{\gamma} \odot \frac{\vec{h}-\mu}{\sigma}+\vec{\beta}
$$

- where $\mu, \sigma$ are mean and standard deviation over the dimensions of $\vec{h}$ :

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} h_{i} ; \quad \sigma=\sqrt{\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(h_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}
$$

- Benefits: Allows us to normalize vectors after every layer; helps against exploding activations on the forward pass
- In the Transformer, layer normalization is applied position-wise, i.e., every $\vec{o}_{j}$ is normalized independently


## ENCODER-DECODER ATTENTION

## Open question: How do we connect encoder and decoder?

Construction of one decoder block:
(1) Masked (Multi-Head) Attention layer (only target sequence)
(2) "Ordinary" (Multi-Head) Attention layer

- Queries from the previous decoder layer
- Keys, Values from the encoder output
(3) Feed-Forward layer (w/ residual connections \& layer norm)
$\rightarrow$ Allows the decoder to attend to all tokens from the input sequences
(cf. Bahdanau et al. (2014) for RNNs)


## THE TRANSFORMER ARCHITECTURE

(For simpler problems (e.g., classification, tagging), you would simply use the encoder.)
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[^0]:    Figure from Vaswani et al. 2017: Attention is all you need

